



**CALIFORNIA**  
MASSAGE THERAPY COUNCIL

One Capitol Mall, Suite 320 | Sacramento, CA 95814  
tel 916.669.5336 fax 916.444.7462 www.camtc.org

Sent and posted Friday December 27, 2013

**Notice and Agenda**

**Board of Directors Meeting**

**Tuesday January 7, 2014**

**2:00 p.m.**

**Via Conference call**

**Number: 1-877-366-0711 Passcode: 77085177#**

1. Call to order/ Roll call
2. Discussion/decision regarding reinstatement of removed board member
3. Appointment of new board member
4. Proposed legislation for 2014
5. Closed session with CAMTC legal counsel pursuant to California Government Code Section 11126(e)
6. Issues and scheduling for next meeting
7. Adjourn

All agenda items are subject to discussion, possible action, and public comment. Requests for more information, or to make a request regarding a disability-related modification or accommodations for the meeting, please contact Sheryl LaFlamme at (916) 669-5336 or One Capitol Mall, Suite 320 Sacramento CA 95814 or via email at [camtc@amgroup.us](mailto:camtc@amgroup.us). Requests for disability-related modification or accommodation for the meeting should be made at least 48 hours prior to the meeting time. This notice and agenda is available on the Internet at <http://www.camtc.org>.



**CALIFORNIA**  
MASSAGE THERAPY COUNCIL

One Capitol Mall, Suite 320 | Sacramento, CA 95814  
tel 916.669.5336 fax 916.444.7462 www.camtc.org

December 31, 2013

To: CAMTC Board  
From: Ahmos Netanel, CEO  
Re: Removals, resignation, reinstatement

Pursuant to Article V, Section 6(i) of the CAMTC bylaws<sup>1</sup>, a director who misses three consecutive meetings is automatically removed from the Board upon notice from staff. However, the director may be reinstated at the next meeting based on any reason satisfactory to the Board.

Both Paul Schwinghamer and Nayada Dhanaphatana missed three consecutive meetings in 2013 and were recently sent the required notice of removal. Nayada had already decided to resign for purely personal reasons when she received the notice and she confirmed her resignation in an email to me, so her seat is officially vacant. Paul was notified of his right to ask for reinstatement, either in writing or by appearing on the January 7 conference call, so we will wait and see what he decides to do.

---

<sup>1</sup> Article V, Section 6(i) Attendance. Any director who misses three (3) consecutive Board meetings for any reason automatically loses his or her seat on the Council. Staff will immediately notify the director and the appointing entity via email regarding the removal after the third missed Board meeting.

The Board may waive this provision as to a particular director by majority vote. The Board's waiver of the automatic removal provision can be based on an excuse acceptable to the Board or any other justification deemed appropriate by the Board. Any vacancy created by this provision shall be filled in accordance with Article V, Section 5(c) above.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: The Best Board in CA

From: "Michael Callagy" <[mcallagy@smcgov.org](mailto:mcallagy@smcgov.org)>

Date: Mon, December 30, 2013 6:48 pm

To: <[mdixon@camtc.org](mailto:mdixon@camtc.org)>

Mark, I hope this email finds you very well after the Christmas holiday. As you know, after 29.5 years with the San Mateo Police Department I recently retired after being asked to become a Deputy County Manager for the County of San Mateo. Though an emotional decision, it was the right decision to leave. The County created a new Law Enforcement Liaison position which allows me to have a broader impact on law enforcement policy at the County and State level. Given that, I would love to rejoin the CAMTC Board if there is an opening. I believe I bring a unique perspective from the local and now county level and I firmly believe in the direction of the Board. Please call with any questions and thank you in advance for consideration of this request. Mike

Mike Callagy  
Deputy County Manager  
County of San Mateo  
(650) 363-4129



Memo

Date: December 31, 2013  
To: Board of Directors  
From: Ahmos Netanel, Chief Executive Officer  
Subject: New proposed CAMTC sponsored legislation for 2014

CAMTC strongly believes in the authority of cities and counties to maintain local control of illegal massage establishments. However, there are still some cities/counties that are unclear about their role and our joint goal of eliminating massage establishments that are merely fronts for prostitution. Since the beginning, CAMTC has endeavored to work cooperatively with local government, and we want to remove any ambiguity regarding our intentions.

As such, Staff is recommending that CAMTC propose, support, or sponsor legislation in 2014 that will provide local government with the explicit authority to address establishments that use massage as a subterfuge for prostitution. The proposed legislation would allow a city or county to impose the following types of regulations in the event a massage provider, including a CAMTC certificate holder, is convicted of 647(b) PC – Prostitution:

1. Shut down the massage establishment where the conduct resulting in the conviction for 647(b) PC – Prostitution occurred;
2. Impose a fine of up to \$50,000 on the massage establishment where the conduct resulting in the conviction for 647(b) PC - Prostitution occurred;
3. Prohibit the opening of a new massage establishment for up to 10 years in the same location where the conduct resulting in the conviction for 647(b) PC Prostitution occurred;
4. Prohibit any corporate officer, LLC member, partner in a partnership, or owner of 5% or more of a massage establishment from opening another massage establishment in the city or county where the conduct resulting in a conviction for 647(b) PC – Prostitution occurred; and
5. Prohibit any corporate officer, LLC member, partner in a partnership, or owner of 5% or more of a massage establishment where conduct resulting in a conviction for 647(b) PC – Prostitution occurred from opening a massage establishment in any California city or county.

Rationale: These proposed provisions clearly articulate the authority of cities and counties to address illicit establishments in a decisive manner. Since cities and counties are already entrusted with the responsibility to enforce the Penal Code, conduct undercover operations, and work with the DAs in their local jurisdictions to get 647(b) PC convictions, these provisions will bolster their efforts to eradicate illicit massage establishments.

We hope this legislation will make it clear to the cities and counties that we share the common goal of providing healthy, legal, and quality care for the people of California and, at the same time, are working together to distinguish much needed and much wanted massage services from criminal prostitution.